

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/828,424	EDMONDSON, JERRY M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O. Savage	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O. Savage.

(2) Jerry M. Edmondson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16-21, 24, and 26.

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claim 1 to patently distinguish over Fenwick. Agreed to various amendments to clarify the claims and to ensure proper correspondence between the claims and specification and drawings. Agreed to provide antecedent basis in the specification for subject matter of new claims 16-26. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.